REGISTER | LOGIN | FACEBOOK | TWITTER | TUMBLR

HOME | POLITICS | ENTERTAINMENT | BOOKS | FASHION | INNOVATION | BUSINESS | U.S. NEWS | WORLD | CAUSES | ART | EDUCATION

Trending Topics | Steve Jobs | Leymah Gbowee | Women in the World | Spin Cycle | Andrew Sullivan | Photos | Food |

WORLD NEWS

CHANGE TEXT SIZE AUTHOR



Jeremi Suri

Our Next Step in the Middle East

Oct 7, 2011 8:24 PM EDT

The Arab Spring put severe limits on American influence in the Middle East—but Washington still has a unique opportunity to help convert failed states into peaceful nations, says Jeremi Suri.

Print Email Comments 1 6 Like 5

The Middle East is experiencing a revolution as citizens in country-after-country seize control of their societies. In Tunisia and Egypt, this has meant the forced resignation of a longstanding dictatorship. In Libya, Yemen, Bahrain, and Syria, it has meant armed conflict, even civil war. As with all revolutions, the final outcome is hard to predict, but we can be sure that the region will not return to circumstances of the recent past. Moderate secular rulers will not be able to cow their citizens and live off the fat of oil exports and American aid. The new leaders of the Middle East will have to show that they can serve their citizens in ways their predecessors never did.

The United States has a vital role to play in this process. For the last half century, Americans have influenced the politics of the Middle East, often with damaging consequences. That is a history, above all, the United States must not replay. It is time we learned from our past errors. We should refrain from supporting strong-man dictators who promise to protect our interests. They rarely fulfill their commitments, and they always inspire resentment and resistance. We also should avoid quick fixes, from regime change to large investments in economic development. The complex mixture of cultures and peoples in the region is not susceptible to change on

a rapid (American) timetable.

These historical warnings, however, should not become a justification for passivity. As bad as some of the previous American efforts in the region have turned out, things have only been worse when the United States stayed away. One of the biggest problems in places like Yemen, Lebanon, and <u>Somalia</u> is that Washington has allowed local thugs and extremists to hijack political authority. Without American support, it is very hard for well-intentioned reformers to challenge ruthless figures who control the guns, the roads, and the oil.

MOST POPULAR

Jobs's Unorthodox Treatment

Mitt Romney's Evangelical Problem

Money Dispute May End 'Simpsons'

Sheen Family's Epic Journey

Telling the Truth Slowly

STORIES WE LIKE

EW.COM

9 Cast Reunions: Then and Now

EDUCATION

SATs Legacy of Cheating

STYLELIST HOME

Color Inspiration Straight From the Catwalk

MENTAL FLOSS

7 Photos of Steve Jobs Playing With Photo Booth

POLITICAL WIRE

Take the Money and Don't Run

YOUR TANGO

Rachel Uchitel Ties the Knot: Is She Addicted to Love?



RELATED STORIES

THE DAILY BEAST

Are Yogasms Real?

THE DAILY BEAST

Romney's Shallow Speech

Savvy and select American intervention should accompany the restraint and humility that history teaches all visitors to the Middle East. We can group the appropriate United States policies into three areas: interpersonal, intergovernmental, and intergenerational. Each includes issues that directly benefit both Americans and Middle Eastern residents. Each offers low risk and high reward. American policies require courage, vision, and some creativity—qualities that have been absent from deliberations in Washington since the beginning of the <u>Arab Spring</u> a year ago.



Hani Mohammed / AP Photo

First, Washington should move quickly to increase the density of personal contacts between prominent citizens in the Middle East and their counterparts in the United States. This involves a vast increase in America's understaffed civilian diplomatic presence in the region. The Middle East should become the No. 1 priority region for new ambassadors, attachés, and other representatives of the United States. We should do everything we can to learn much more about the citizens who are taking control of these societies, and we should forge deep personal relationships with them, often through informal contacts outside "official" embassy settings. Historical research shows that the trust and familiarity that come from close diplomatic relationships are much more valuable, especially during a time of transition, than policy pronouncements. The United States must invest immediately in becoming more deeply connected to the Arab street.

Second, Washington must prioritize investments in transparent and accountable political institutions. Again, the historical track record shows that spending on good governance produces more dividends than efforts at foreign-sponsored economic growth. Governance is also less expensive. The United States should work with the European Union, the United Nations, the World Bank, and other international bodies to create a modest "Fund for Openness" that can provide assistance and aid for the key anchors of democratic politics: a free press, a fair judiciary, and basic safety for dissidents. International peacekeepers, including a small contingent of American soldiers, should support basic security for nurturing these processes.

Third, and perhaps most important, American investments in the Middle East must look to the long-term interests of the region and the United States. The president should reject all claims about immediate "success" and argue that the United States has a generational commitment to stability, prosperity, and democracy in the Arab world. It is hard to imagine America enjoying these

THE DAILY BEAST

Republican Michele Bachmann's Iowa-Or-Bust Strategy Is Sputtering

AROUND THE WEB

CAREONE

Find out how life's little luxuries can eat up your money!

HISTORY.COM

Military: First Combat Helicopter

SNAGFILMS

Into the Tsangpo Gorge

benefits if they are denied, as they have been for decades, in the Middle East.

The promise of more participatory politics in the Middle East demands serious and sustained American actions—far beyond what we have seen so far.

The United States should push for a moratorium on most military weapons sales to the region and divert investments into secular public education. Observers have long argued that Islamic extremism has grown because madrassas and other forms of hateful indoctrination have filled the vacuum in available resources for impoverished families. The people of the region need inclusive and non-hateful alternatives. The United States and its Western allies have the educators, the experience, and the resources to help. The costs will be modest and the rewards will be transformative.

The Arab Spring places severe limits on American influence. History also cautions against many traditional American forms of intervention. The promise of more participatory politics in the Middle East, however, demands serious and sustained American actions—far beyond what we have seen so far. The United States has a unique opportunity to invest in the modest interpersonal, intergovernmental, and intergenerational changes that will help convert failed states into peaceful nations. More than anything else, that is the American dream.

Like <u>The Daily Beast on Facebook</u> and <u>follow us on Twitter</u> for updates all day long.

Jeremi Suri is the Mack Brown Distinguished Professor for Global Leadership, History, and Public Policy at the University of Texas at Austin. He is the author of five books on contemporary politics and foreign policy. His latest is *Liberty's Surest Guardian: American Nation-Building from the Founders to Obama* (Free Press).

For inquiries, please contact The Daily Beast at editorial@thedailybeast.com.

Print Email Comments 1 6 Like 5

TAGS: World News, Yemen, Bahrain, Middle East, Syria, Arab Spring, Egypt, Tunisia, Libya

RELATED STORIES

















Find out how life's little luxuries can eat up your money! (CAREONE)

Woman Decapitated in Mexico

Into the Tsangpo Gorge (SNAGFILMS)

Romney's Shallow Speech

COMMENTS

You must be logged in to comment

Login or signup

Type your comment here...

POST

Newest ‡



ConservitiveLiberal

10 Hours Ago

kill the wepons sales and get our grubby fingers out of other countries pies

REPLY

OTHER NEWS

POLITICS



Personal Dedication: Arnold's Awesome Austrian Museum

The Most Controversial Nobel Peace Prize

Sibling Rivalry: Harry and William Square Off in a Stateside Travel Battle

Get More from Powerwall

ENTERTAINMENT



'Supernatural': Did Dean go too far?

Does 'Skyfall' as a James Bond title scare 'the living daylights' out of you?

Walt Disney World Turns 40: A tribute from a life-long fan and a 40-year cast member

Get More from EW.com

ENTERTAINMENT



TV Ratings: Fox's 'Fringe' Improves, CW's 'Nikita' Slips

Japan's Yoda Stresses Need for Positive Messages

Vietnam Pushes the Envelope with Risky BIFF Entry

Get More from The Hollywood Reporter

POLITICS



Romney Way Up in New Hampshire Was the Solyndra Bankruptcy a Good Thing?

How to Attract Unwanted Attention

Get More from Political Wire

TOPICS

Sexy Beast **Book Beast** Art Beast Giving Beast

SUBJECTS

Innovation

Stories Galleries **Politics** Entertainment Books Art

TRENDING

TOPICS Steve Jobs Leymah Gbowee Women in the World Spin Cycle Andrew Sullivan Photos Food

MORE

PARTNERS

Facebook Expedia Twitter Feed Hotels iPhone App Hotwire Merchantcircle Reference Thesaurus

Urbanspoon

COMPANY

Help About Us Contact Us Press Room Work For Us Advertise With Us Privacy Policy Community Policy

Business U.S.News World Causes Terms of Use Financial Disclaimer Copyright & Trademark Reprints & Permissions

GET EMAIL UPDATES SIGN UP

Sign up for daily email updates from The Daily Beast

© 2011 The Newsweek/Daily Beast Company LLC